
Addendum Number	4
Addendum Date of Issue	March 27, 2020
RFP Number	20018
RFP Description	Envelope and Foundation Wall Repair Design – Thistletown II
Page(s):	3
Attachment(s):	N/A

This Addendum forms part of the above mentioned RFP document and is to be read, interpreted, and coordinated with all other parts. The following revisions supersede the information contained in the original RFP documents issued for the above-named project to the extent referenced and shall become part thereof.

The following information supplements and/or supersedes the RFP document issued on February 10, 2020

1. RFP TIMETABLE

- a. **The Submission Deadline**, as stated in the RFP Timetable, has been changed to Thursday April 9th, 2020. The closing time remains the same at 2:00:00 p.m. Toronto time.

2. QUESTIONS

- Q1. Based on Addendum 3- Question 4 If there is no new budget, is it going to be a percentage based fee? Otherwise, how can you make this “apples to apples”?
- A1. Fee is submitted by each Proponent on Submission Form C – Pricing Form. There is no pre-determined percentage influenced by the budget.**
- Q2. Base on Addendum 3 Clarifications Section a.vi., “The consultant is not expected to examine the condition of the existing exhaust fans except where the exhaust louver needs to be relocated or retained and indicated as to its location on the recladding drawings.” The original RFP stated we are to assess and upgrade all bathroom exhaust fans. Has the requirement to assess and replace bathroom exhaust fans been removed from the scope of work?
- A2. Exhaust fan scope is described in previous addendum.**
- Q3. Regarding the deadline, as the question deadline was before Covid-19 shut down the city, is there any possibility of an extension given the new difficulties of functioning from home offices?
- A3. Please reference Section 1 RFP Timetable of this Addendum.**

- Q4. Submission Form C – Pricing Form – Is there a new one that is going to be published having in mind that Block Q has been deleted from the Scope of Work, or should we use the one from Addendum 2? Also does the Cash Allowance – Destructive, remain the same, at \$25,000?
- A4. Proponents are to submit the revised Submission Form C – Pricing Form – Addendum 2. Cash allowance remains the same and only applies to the 109 units.**
- Q5. Due to the extraordinary circumstances like many architects we are preparing to move to working remotely. It is a process that will require time and is our priority in order to comply and protect our employees and Clients. This will impact our ability to response as quickly as we would like to this RFP. Will TCHC provide an extension to the submission deadline.
- A5. Please reference Section 1 RFP Timetable of this Addendum.**
- Q6. If new kitchen exhaust fans are required a second Electrical visit will be required to each unit requiring an exhaust fan. Should the electrical consultant assume they will need to go into all 109 units, as it is impossible to tell at this time?
- A6. TCHC will provide data on which units have existing exhaust fans.**
- Q7. Section 3 does not itemize a page count for methodology. Please confirm.
- A7. One (1) page maximum for the methodology will be accepted, any additional pages submitted over the one page maximum will not be considered nor evaluated.**
- Q8. Will there be vacant units for us to look at and do the interior cut tests?
- A8. Yes, there will be vacant units for Proponents to look at and utilize for interior cut tests.**
- Q9. Addendum 3 scope - The contract administration fee on the Submission Form C - Pricing form is for a single phase only.
- A9. Yes, the contract administration fee on Submission Form C – Pricing form is for a single phase only**
- Q10. Shall we assume for the purpose of this form that the phase will be 33.33% of the overall?
- A10. Yes.**
- Q11. Appendix B scope of work Feb 26 - construction budget listed as \$12,500, 000 which is 2x original budget in appendix b prior to addendum 1, but, is not highlighted as changed. This budget is no longer relevant - correct?
- A11. Correct, the budget is no longer relevant.**
- Q12. If the construction CA is for one phase only, then when the other phases happen, will the fee be adjusted for inflation?
- A12. Each Proponent should maintain their CA fee for the next three (3) years starting in 2021.**
- Q13. We understand from Addendum 1 that only mechanical/electrical drawings being provided - are these in pdf format or CAD? As structural and architectural were not listed as being provided, can we see the mechanical/electrical drawings to understand whether they can be used as base plans for the work? In Appendix B scope 1.5 it implies that there are some architectural drawings that we are then verifying. If we are starting from scratch to completely measure, then we need to know how many different unit types there are. Also, are there any elevations available?
- A13. There are no mechanical and electrical drawings. Architectural drawings are old, hand drawn and a vague facsimile at best of the existing conditions. Consultants are to prepare their own drawings based on their own measurement and investigation.**

Q14. As there will be 3 phases, should we be assuming 26 visits per phase?

A14. The number of visits specified are all for Phase 1.

Q15. 10% for tender seems unusually high - typical is 5, and schematic design is unusually low considering the amount of investigation on top of the concept design in that phase. Can you please consider adjusting to more accurately reflect the work? Also, since Tender-warranty is supposed to be for one phase only out of the three, then the percentages here should be 1/3 of what they are. Can you please clarify?

A15. Percentage breakdown of fee will remain as is.

All other terms and conditions remain the same.

Joanne Bourne-James
Sourcing Manager, Strategic Procurement

[End of Addendum 4]